

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To:

Deshmuckh, Jayadeep R. RANBAXY LABORATORIES LIMITED 600 College Road East, Suite 2100 Princeton, New Jersey 08540 ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE



NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing

(day/month/year)

09.09.2004

Applicant's or agent's file reference

RLL-268.1WO

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International application No. PCT/IB 02/03740

International filing date (day/month/year) 12.09.2002

Priority date (day/month/year)

26.08.2002

Applicant

RANBAXY LABORATORIES LIMITED et al.

- The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 **Authorized Officer**

Siefert, A

Tel. +49 89 2399-2469





PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT (PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference RLL-268.1WO				FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)				
International application No. PCT/IB 02/03740				International filing date 12.09.2002	(day/mon	th/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 26.08.2002	
C07	7D52		ent Classification (IPC) or	both national classification	and IPC			
	licant NBAX	(Y LA	ABORATORIES LIM	ITED et al.				
1.	This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.							
2.	. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.							
	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).							
	These annexes consist of a total of sheets.							
3.	This	repo	rt contains indications	relating to the following	items:			
	ı	\boxtimes	Basis of the opinion					
	11		Priority					
	III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability							
	IV Lack of unity of invention							
	V Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					ility;		
	VI Certain documents cited							
	VII	VII Certain defects in the international application						
• (• •	VIII Certain observations on the international application							
Date	of sub	missio	n of the demand		Date of	completion of th	s report	
19.0	19.03.2004				09.09.2004			
	Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:				Authoriz	ed Officer	agraticators to	ON E
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich					Lauro,	Р	i d	
Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465				656 epmu d		ne No. +49 89 2	399-8288	odino . sung





INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/IB 02/03740

I.	Basis	of the	report

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Description, Pages						
	1-4	2	as originally filed				
	Claims, Numbers						
	1-2	5	as originally filed				
2.			age, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the ternational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
	The	ese elements were av	ailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:				
		the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).					
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.	anslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 3).				
3.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:						
		contained in the inte	rnational application in written form.				
		filed together with th	e international application in computer readable form.				
	☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.						
	☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.						
		The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.					
		The statement that t listing has been furn	he information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence ished.				
4.	The	amendments have r	esulted in the cancellation of:				
		the description,	pages:				
		the claims,	Nos.:				
		the drawings,	sheets:				
5.		This report has been been considered to g	established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).				
	(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annex report.)						
6.	Add	litional observations, i	f necessary:				

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (January 2004)



International application No.

PCT/IB 02/03740

III. Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicabilit
--

 The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be not obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of: 				e step (to be non-			
		the entire international applica	ation,				
	\boxtimes	claims Nos. 22-23					
		because:					
		the said international applicati not require an international pr	ion, or elimina	the said clai ary examinat	ms Nos. relate to the following subjointing subjointing (specify):	ect matter which does	
 □ the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify): □ the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaning could be formed. ☑ no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos. 22-23 					ns Nos. are so unclear		
					t no meaningful opinion		
2.	or a	A meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide an or amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative nstructions:					
		the written form has not been	furnisł	ned or does i	not comply with the Standard.		
		the computer readable form h	as not	been furnish	ed or does not comply with the Star	ndard.	
٧.	Rea cita	soned statement under Artic tions and explanations supp	ele 35(orting	2) with rega such state	rd to novelty, inventive step or in nent	dustrial applicability;	
1. Statement							
		elty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-21,24-25		
		entive step (IS)		Claims Claims	1-21,24-25		
	Indu	strial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-21,24-25	. 1. 2	
2.	Cita	tions and explanations					

see separate sheet

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/IB 02/03740 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

- 1. The examination is carried out for the subject-matter for which a search report has been drawn up, namely claims 1-21,24-25.
- 2. Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: WO-A-02051408 D2: WO-A-9639394 D3: WO-A-0166551 D4: EP-A-0567982

D6: Chemical And Pharmaceutical Bulletin, Pharmaceutical Society Of

Japan. Tokyo, Jp (1996), 44(2), 314-327

D7: EP-A-0967210

D5: EP-A-0097469

3. Novelty

The compounds disclosed in D1 and D2 anticipate compounds of formula (I) wherein Z represents a triazol-3-thione ring.

Re D3 to D7 the compounds of the present application differ due to the definition of the group Z.

4. Inventive step

The compounds of the present application are antifungal agents as the compounds disclosed in all of the prior art documents cited above. Only the compounds disclosed in D2 appear to be useful in agriculture; for all the other compounds of the prior art a pharmaceutical use is disclosed. In view of the fact that the general formula disclosed in D1 overlaps with formula (I) of the present application, and in view of the fact that the group the triazol-3-thione derivatives are obviously derivable from the triazol-3-one derivatives disclosed in D3, D4, D6 and that compounds without a second ring have been already disclosed (see e.g. D6), the fungicidal activity of the compounds of the present application would have been expected and no inventive step appears to be involved in their provision as antifungal agents.

5. Further observations:

The definitions of Ar and A are unclear since it is not understood what represents

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/IB 02/03740 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

the definition of Ar or A and what the substituents on said groups. The applicant is invited to clearly identify in the claims the main groups and their substituents. The groups indicated as preferred in the claims should either be made part of the definition (e.g. by using an expression such as "selected from ...") or become subject-matter of a dependent claim.

Claim 5 refers to a "method for treatment".

The claims directed to subgroups of formula (I) should be indicated as being dependent on claim 1 for reasons of clarity. Claim 2 should also made be dependent on claim 1.